

CONTENTS

5		Foreword
9		Preface
11		Preface to the first, German edition
20	1	The tasks and aims of a historical study of theory of structures
21	1.1	Internal scientific tasks
25	1.2	Practical engineering tasks
26	1.3	Didactic tasks
27	1.4	Cultural tasks
28	1.5	Aims
28	1.6	An invitation to a journey through the history of theory of structures
30	2	Learning from the history of structural analysis: 11 introductory essays
31	2.1	What is structural analysis?
31	2.1.1	Preparatory period (1575 – 1825)
34	2.1.2	Discipline-formation period (1825 – 1900)
37	2.1.3	Consolidation period (1900 – 1950)
39	2.1.4	Integration period (1950 to date)
41	2.2	From the lever to the truss
42	2.2.1	Lever principle according to Archimedes
43	2.2.2	The principle of virtual displacements
43	2.2.3	The general law of work
44	2.2.4	The principle of virtual forces
44	2.2.5	The parallelogram of forces
45	2.2.6	From Newton to Lagrange
46	2.2.7	Kinematic or geometric view of statics?
46	2.2.8	Stable or unstable, determinate or indeterminate?
47	2.2.9	Syntheses in statics
50	2.3	The development of higher engineering education
51	2.3.1	The specialist and military schools of the <i>ancien régime</i>

52	2.3.2	Science and enlightenment
52	2.3.3	Science and education during the French Revolution (1789–1794)
53	2.3.4	Monge's teaching plan for the École Polytechnique
55	2.3.5	Austria, Germany and Russia in the wake of the École Polytechnique
58	2.3.6	The education of engineers in the United States
63	2.4	Insights into bridge-building and theory of structures in the 19th century
64	2.4.1	Suspension bridges
70	2.4.2	Timber bridges
72	2.4.3	Composite systems
73	2.4.4	The Göltzsch and Elster viaducts (1845–1851)
76	2.4.5	The Britannia Bridge (1846–1850)
79	2.4.6	The first Dirschau Bridge over the River Weichsel (1850–1857)
80	2.4.7	The Garabit Viaduct (1880–1884)
84	2.4.8	Bridge engineering theories
92	2.5	The industrialisation of steel bridge-building between 1850 and 1900
92	2.5.1	Germany and Great Britain
94	2.5.2	France
95	2.5.3	United States of America
99	2.6	Influence lines
101	2.6.1	Railway trains and bridge-building
102	2.6.2	Evolution of the influence line concept
103	2.7	The beam on elastic supports
104	2.7.1	The Winkler bedding
105	2.7.2	The theory of the permanent way
107	2.7.3	From permanent way theory to the theory of the beam on elastic supports
108	2.8	Displacement method
109	2.8.1	Analysis of a triangular frame
112	2.8.2	Comparing the displacement method and trussed framework theory for frame-type systems
113	2.9	Second-order theory
113	2.9.1	Josef Melan's contribution
114	2.9.2	Suspension bridges become stiffer
115	2.9.3	Arch bridges become more flexible
116	2.9.4	The differential equation for laterally loaded struts and ties
116	2.9.5	The integration of second-order theory into the displacement method
117	2.9.6	Why do we need fictitious forces?
121	2.10	Ultimate load method
121	2.10.1	First approaches
123	2.10.2	Foundation of the ultimate load method
127	2.10.3	The paradox of the plastic hinge method
130	2.10.4	The acceptance of the ultimate load method
136	2.11	Structural law – Static law – Formation law
136	2.11.1	The five Platonic bodies
137	2.11.2	Beauty and law

142	3	The first fundamental engineering science disciplines: theory of structures and applied mechanics
143	3.1	What is engineering science?
144	3.1.1	First approximation
146	3.1.2	Raising the status of engineering sciences through philosophical discourse
153	3.1.3	Engineering and engineering sciences
157	3.2	Revoking the encyclopaedic in the system of classical engineering sciences: five case studies from applied mechanics and theory of structures
158	3.2.1	On the topicality of the encyclopaedic
161	3.2.2	Franz Joseph Ritter von Gerstner's contribution to the mathematisation of construction theories
166	3.2.3	Weisbach's encyclopaedia of applied mechanics
173	3.2.4	Rankine's <i>Manuals</i> , or the harmony between theory and practice
177	3.2.5	Föppl's <i>Vorlesungen über technische Mechanik</i>
180	3.2.6	The <i>Handbuch der Ingenieurwissenschaften</i> as an encyclopaedia of classical civil engineering theory
186	4	From masonry arch to elastic arch
189	4.1	The geometrical thinking behind the theory of masonry arch bridges
189	4.1.1	The Ponte S. Trinità in Florence
195	4.1.2	Establishing the new thinking in bridge-building practice using the example of Nuremberg's Fleisch Bridge
199	4.2	From the wedge to the masonry arch – or: the addition theorem of wedge theory
201	4.2.1	Between mechanics and architecture: masonry arch theory at the Académie Royale d'Architecture de Paris (1687–1718)
201	4.2.2	La Hire and Béliidor
203	4.2.3	Epigones
204	4.3	From the analysis of masonry arch collapse mechanisms to voussoir rotation theory
204	4.3.1	Baldi
206	4.3.2	Fabri
207	4.3.3	La Hire
208	4.3.4	Couplet
210	4.3.5	Bridge-building – empiricism still reigns
211	4.3.6	Coulomb's voussoir rotation theory
212	4.3.7	Monasterio's <i>Nueva Teórica</i>
213	4.4	The line of thrust theory
216	4.4.1	Gerstner
218	4.4.2	The search for the true line of thrust
219	4.5	The breakthrough for elastic theory
220	4.5.1	The dualism of masonry arch and elastic arch theory under Navier
221	4.5.2	Two steps forwards, one back
223	4.5.3	From Poncelet to Winkler
227	4.5.4	A step back

227	4.5.5	The masonry arch is nothing, the elastic arch is everything – the triumph of elastic arch theory over masonry arch theory
232	4.6	Ultimate load theory for masonry arches
234	4.6.1	Of cracks and the true line of thrust in the masonry arch
235	4.6.2	Masonry arch failures
236	4.6.3	The maximum load principles of the ultimate load theory for masonry arches
236	4.6.4	The safety of masonry arches
238	4.6.5	Analysis of a masonry arch railway bridge
241	4.7	The finite element method
243	4.8	On the epistemological status of masonry arch theories
245	4.8.1	Wedge theory
245	4.8.2	Collapse mechanism analysis and voussoir rotation theory
246	4.8.3	Line of thrust theory and elastic theory for masonry arches
248	4.8.4	Ultimate load theory for masonry arches as an object in the historical theory of structures
248	4.8.5	The finite element analysis of masonry arches
250	5	The beginnings of a theory of structures
252	5.1	What is the theory of strength of materials?
255	5.2	On the state of development of structural design and strength of materials in the Renaissance
260	5.3	Galileo's <i>Dialogue</i>
261	5.3.1	First day
264	5.3.2	Second day
270	5.4	Developments in the strength of materials up to 1750
277	5.5	Civil engineering at the close of the 18th century
279	5.5.1	Franz Joseph Ritter von Gerstner
283	5.5.2	Introduction to structural engineering
289	5.5.3	Four comments on the significance of Gerstner's <i>Einleitung in die statische Baukunst</i> for theory of structures
290	5.6	The formation of a theory of structures: Eytelwein and Navier
291	5.6.1	Navier
294	5.6.2	Eytelwein
296	5.6.3	The analysis of the continuous beam according to Eytelwein and Navier
306	6	The discipline-formation period of theory of structures
308	6.1	Clapeyron's contribution to the formation of classical engineering sciences
308	6.1.1	<i>Les Polytechniciens</i> : the fascinating revolutionary élan in post-revolution France
310	6.1.2	Clapeyron and Lamé in St. Petersburg (1820–1831)
313	6.1.3	Clapeyron's formulation of the energy doctrine of classical engineering sciences
314	6.1.4	Bridge-building and the theorem of three moments
317	6.2	From graphical statics to graphical analysis
318	6.2.1	The founding of graphical statics by Culmann

320	6.2.2	Rankine, Maxwell, Cremona and Bow
322	6.2.3	Differences between graphical statics and graphical analysis
324	6.2.4	The breakthrough for graphical analysis
330	6.3	The classical phase of theory of structures
331	6.3.1	Winkler's contribution
340	6.3.2	The beginnings of the force method
350	6.3.3	Loadbearing structure as kinematic machine
358	6.4	Theory of structures at the transition from the discipline-formation to the consolidation period
358	6.4.1	Castigliano
362	6.4.2	The foundation of classical theory of structures
365	6.4.3	The dispute about the fundamentals of classical theory of structures is resumed
373	6.4.4	The validity of Castigliano's theorems
374	6.5	Lord Rayleigh's <i>The Theory of Sound</i> and Kirpichev's foundation of classical theory of structures
375	6.5.1	Rayleigh coefficient and Ritz coefficient
377	6.5.2	Kirpichev's congenial adaptation
379	6.6	The Berlin school of structural theory
380	6.6.1	The notion of the scientific school
381	6.6.2	The completion of classical theory of structures by Heinrich Müller-Breslau
383	6.6.3	Classical theory of structures takes hold of engineering design
387	6.6.4	Müller-Breslau's students
396	7	From construction with iron to modern structural steelwork
398	7.1	Torsion theory in iron construction and theory of structures from 1850 to 1900
398	7.1.1	Saint-Venant's torsion theory
402	7.1.2	The torsion problem in Weisbach's <i>Principles</i>
405	7.1.3	Bach's torsion tests
408	7.1.4	The adoption of torsion theory in classical theory of structures
411	7.2	Crane-building at the focus of mechanical and electrical engineering, structural steelwork and theory of structures
412	7.2.1	Rudolph Bredt – the familiar stranger
412	7.2.2	The Ludwig Stuckenholz company in Wetter a. d. Ruhr
423	7.2.3	Bredt's scientific-technical publications
429	7.2.4	The engineering industry adopts classical theory of structures
433	7.3	Torsion theory in the consolidation period of structural theory (1900–1950)
433	7.3.1	The introduction of an engineering science concept: the torsion constant
435	7.3.2	The discovery of the shear centre
440	7.3.3	Torsion theory in structural steelwork from 1925 to 1950
443	7.3.4	Summary
443	7.4	Searching for the true buckling theory in steel construction
443	7.4.1	The buckling tests of the DStV

448	7.4.2	German State Railways and the joint technical-scientific work in structural steelwork
449	7.4.3	Excursion: the Olympic Games for structural engineering
451	7.4.4	A paradigm change in buckling theory
452	7.4.5	The standardisation of the new buckling theory in the German stability standard DIN 4114
454	7.5	Steelwork and steelwork science from 1950 to 1975
456	7.5.1	From the truss to the plane frame: the orthotropic bridge deck
463	7.5.2	The rise of composite steel-concrete construction
469	7.5.3	Lightweight steel construction
471	7.6	Eccentric orbits – the disappearance of the centre
474	8	Member analysis conquers the third dimension: the spatial framework
475	8.1	Development of the theory of spatial frameworks
476	8.1.1	The original dome to the Reichstag (German parliament building)
478	8.1.2	Foundation of the theory of spatial frameworks by August Föppl
481	8.1.3	Integration of spatial framework theory into classic structural theory
485	8.2	Spatial frameworks in an era of technical reproducibility
486	8.2.1	Alexander Graham Bell
487	8.2.2	Vladimir Grigorievich Shukhov
487	8.2.3	Walther Bauersfeld and Franz Dischinger
489	8.2.4	Richard Buckminster Fuller
490	8.2.5	Max Mengerhausen
491	8.3	Dialectic synthesis of individual structural composition and large-scale production
491	8.3.1	The MERO system and the composition law for spatial frameworks
494	8.3.2	Spatial frameworks and computers
496	9	Reinforced concrete's influence on theory of structures
498	9.1	The first design methods in reinforced concrete construction
498	9.1.1	The beginnings of reinforced concrete construction
500	9.1.2	From the German Monier patent to the <i>Monier-Broschüre</i>
503	9.1.3	The <i>Monier-Broschüre</i>
511	9.2	Reinforced concrete revolutionises the building industry
512	9.2.1	The fate of the Monier system
514	9.2.2	The end of the system period: steel reinforcement + concrete = reinforced concrete
527	9.3	Theory of structures and reinforced concrete
528	9.3.1	New types of loadbearing structures in reinforced concrete
554	9.3.2	Prestressed concrete: “Une révolution dans les techniques du béton” (Freysinet)
561	9.3.3	The paradigm change in reinforced concrete design takes place in the Federal Republic of Germany too
562	9.3.4	Revealing the invisible: reinforced concrete design with truss models

570	10	From classical to modern theory of structures
571	10.1	The relationship between text, image and symbol in theory of structures
573	10.1.1	The historical stages in the idea of formalisation
580	10.1.2	The structural engineer – a manipulator of symbols?
581	10.2	The development of the displacement method
582	10.2.1	The contribution of the mathematical elastic theory
585	10.2.2	From pin-jointed trussed framework to rigid-jointed frame
589	10.2.3	From trussed framework to rigid frame
591	10.2.4	The displacement method gains emancipation from trussed framework theory
596	10.2.5	The displacement method during the invention phase of structural theory
597	10.3	The groundwork for automation in structural calculations
598	10.3.1	Remarks on the practical use of symbols in structural analysis
600	10.3.2	Rationalisation of structural calculation in the consolidation period of structural theory
606	10.3.3	The dual nature of theory of structures
608	10.3.4	First steps in the automation of structural calculations
610	10.3.5	The diffusion of matrix formulation into the exact natural sciences and fundamental engineering science disciplines
619	10.4	“The computer shapes the theory” (Argyris): the historical roots of the finite element method and the development of computational mechanics
622	10.4.1	Truss models for elastic continua
630	10.4.2	Modularisation and discretisation of aircraft structures
640	10.4.3	The matrix algebra reformulation of structural mechanics
648	10.4.4	FEM – formation of a general technology engineering science theory
654	10.4.5	The founding of FEM through variational theorems
671	10.4.6	Computational mechanics – a broad field
672	10.4.7	A humorous plea
674	11	Twelve scientific controversies in mechanics and theory of structures
675	11.1	The scientific controversy
675	11.2	Twelve disputes
675	11.2.1	Galileo’s <i>Dialogo</i>
676	11.2.2	Galileo’s <i>Discorsi</i>
677	11.2.3	The philosophical dispute about the true measure of force
678	11.2.4	The dispute about the principle of least action
679	11.2.5	The dome of St. Peter’s in the dispute between theorists and practitioners
681	11.2.6	Discontinuum or continuum?
682	11.2.7	Graphical statics versus graphical analysis, or the defence of pure theory
683	11.2.8	Animosity creates two schools: Mohr versus Müller-Breslau
684	11.2.9	The war of positions
685	11.2.10	Until death do us part: Fillunger versus Terzaghi
687	11.2.11	“In principle, yes ...”: the dispute about principles
689	11.2.12	Elastic or plastic? That is the question.
690	11.3	Résumé

692	12	Perspectives for theory of structures
694	12.1	Theory of structures and aesthetics
694	12.1.1	The schism of architecture
695	12.1.2	Beauty and utility in architecture – a utopia?
699	12.1.3	Alfred Gotthold Meyer's <i>Eisenbauten. Ihre Geschichte und Ästhetik</i>
702	12.1.4	The aesthetics in the dialectic between building and calculation
707	12.2	A plea for the historico-genetic teaching of theory of structures
708	12.2.1	Historico-genetic methods for teaching of theory of structures
709	12.2.2	Content, aims, means and characteristics of the historico-genetic teaching of theory of structures
709	12.2.3	Outlook
712		Brief biographies
778		Bibliography
831		Name index
839		Subject index